## Factors Controlling Erosion

- Precipitation
- Surface Cover
- Topography
- Soil Properties


## Rainfall Erosivity

- Intrinsic capacity of rainfall to cause erosion
o Influenced by
> Amount, intensity, terminal velocity, drop size and drop size distribution of rain.
- High rainfall amounts do not always equate to high erosion rates.


## Rainfall Erosivity

- Uniform distribution of rainfall will result in less runoff than seasonal distribution of rain.



## Kinetic Energy (E)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=1 / 2 m v^{2} \\
& m=m a s s, v=\text { velocity }
\end{aligned}
$$

o Given a terminal velocity of a raindrop is $8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

- Kinetic energy of a rain storm
- $(1 / 2) m^{*} 64=32 * m$
- Increase velocity to $10 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with wind and E increases to 50 \%


## Runoff

o Runoff occurs only after water:
> Is adsorbed by the soil
> Fills up the soil pores and surface depressions
> Accumulates on the soil surface to a given depth (dictated by slope)
o Generally, runoff represents a small fraction of total rainfall

## Runoff

- There are a lot of factors working against the production of runoff
> Runoff Inputs=rain, irrigation, snowmelt
> Runoff outputs=infiltration, evaporation, canopy interception, transpiration, surface retention
o These are some of the factors that we will seek to influence when controlling erosion


## Runoff Erosivity

- Kinetic energy of runoff is much lower than rainfall primarily because its velocity is so low
- Mass is also generally much lower
- Assume that $25 \%$ of rainfall is runoff and that runoff velocity is $1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
- Runoff energy $=0.25(1 / 2) \mathrm{m}(1)^{2}=0.125 \mathrm{~m}$
- Recall rainfall energy $=32 \mathrm{~m}$


## Estimating Runoff

- Useful when:
- Designing mechanical erosion control structures
> Ponds, terraces, waterways, vegetative filters, etc.
o Estimating the probable amount of sediment and chemicals transported


## Estimating Runoff

- Factors to consider
> Vegetative cover
- Influences interception
> Topography
- Slope, slope length, and shape
> Soil surface condition
- Surface roughness, crusting
> Soil texture
- Infillration rate


## Time of Concentration

- Tc is the time it takes for runoff to travel from the most distant point of the watershed to the outlet
- Influences the peak discharge
- The shorter the Tc the larger the peak discharge


## Peak Discharge

- Important in the design of erosion control structures
> Terraces, grass water ways, etc.
- Rational equation is a simple equation for determining peak discharge
o Only useful for watersheds that are 200 acres or smaller in size

$$
\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{CIA}
$$

$\mathrm{q}=$ peak runoff rate ( $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ or $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ )
C=Runoff coefficient dimensionless
I=rainfall intensity
$\mathrm{A}=$ drainage $\operatorname{area}\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$, or $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ )

## Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

|  | Runoff Coefficient, C |  |  |  |  |  |  | Runoff Coefficient, C |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Soil Group A |  |  | Soil Group B |  |  | Slope : | Soil Group C |  |  | Soil Group D |  |  |
| Slope : | <2\% | 2-6\% | > 6\% | <2\% | 2-6\% | > $6 \%$ |  | <2\% | 2-6\% | > $6 \%$ | <2\% | 2-6\% | > $6 \%$ |
| Forest | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.18 | Forest | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 |
| Meadow | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.37 | Meadow | 0.26 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 |
| Pasture | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.45 | Pasture | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.62 |
| Farmland | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.28 | Farmland | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.41 |
| Res. 1 acre | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.34 | Res. 1 acre | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.46 |
| Res. $1 / 2$ acre | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.36 | Res. $1 / 2$ acre | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.46 |
| Res. 1/3 acre | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.39 | Res. 1/3 acre | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.50 |
| Res. 1/4 acre | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.42 | Res. 1/4 acre | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.52 |
| Res. 1/8 acre | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.44 | Res. 1/8 acre | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.54 |
| Industrial | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.86 | Industrial | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.88 |
| Commercial | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | Commercial | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 |
| Streets: ROW | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.84 | Streets: ROW | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.95 |
| Parking | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 | Parking | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 |
| Disturbed Area | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.70 | Disturbed Area | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.75 |

Rational Method Runoff Coefficients - Part I
Rational Method Runoff Coefficients - Part II

## Hydrologic Soil Groups

Low runoff potential
A Sands, loamy sands, or sandy loams
Infiltration rates >0.3 inches/hr
Moderately low runoff potential
B Silt loams and loams
Infiltration rate between 0.15 and 0.3 inches/hr Moderately high runoff potential
C Sandy Clay loams infiltration rate between 0.05-0.15 inches/hr High runoff potential
D Clay loams, silty clay loams, sandy clays, silty clays, or clays infiltration rates between 0-0.05 inches/hr

## Hydrologic Soil Groups

- Other soil variable that influence hydrologic soil groups
> Depth to water table
> Depth to clay pan
> Depth to bed rock
> Soil structure
> Shrink-swell capacity


## Modified Rational Equation

o Provides peak runoff estimates for larger watersheds, or for rain events that are shorter than time of concentration.

$$
\mathrm{q}=\frac{\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{tc}}\right) \mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{Tc}}
$$

> $\mathrm{q}=$ peak runoff rate ( $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ or $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ )
> C=Runoff coeffigienthimensionless
$>R_{\mathrm{tc}}=$ depth of rain occurring prior to Tc (ft or m)
> $A=$ drainage area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$, or $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ Time of Concentration

## Using Curve Numbers (CN) to Estimate Runoff

- Simple calculation that can be used to estimate runoff

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{(\mathrm{R}-0.2 \mathrm{~S})^{2}}{(\mathrm{R}+0.8 \mathrm{~S})}
$$

- $Q=$ Depth of runoff(mm, inches)
- $R=$ Rainfall (mm, inches)
- $S=$ Retention Parameter (mm, inches)
> S accounts for losses of water before runoff begins, such as water retained in depressions, water intercepted by vegetation, and water lost to evaporation and infiltration.


## Using Curve Numbers (CN) to Estimate Runoff

- Curve numbers (CN) are used to estimate S
- Curve numbers are influenced by hydrologic soil group, land use, soil management, cropping system, conservation practices and antecedent water content.

$$
S=\frac{25400}{C N}-254
$$

Equation use for mm of rainfall

$$
S=\frac{1000}{C N}-10
$$

Equation use for inches of rainfall

## CN Table for Cropland

| Cover description |  |  | Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cover type | Treatment ${ }^{2}$ | Hydrologic condition ${ }^{3}$ | A | B | C | D |
| Fallow | Bare soil | - | 77 | 86 | 91 | 94 |
|  | Crop residue cover (CR) | Poor | 76 | 85 | 90 | 93 |
|  |  | Good | 74 | 83 | 88 | 90 |
| Row crops | Straight row | Poor | 72 | 81 | 88 | 91 |
|  |  | Good | 67 | 78 | 85 | 89 |
|  | Straight row + CR | Poor | 71 | 80 | 87 | 90 |
|  |  | Good | 64 | 75 | 82 | 85 |
|  | Contoured (C) | Poor | 70 | 79 | 84 | 88 |
|  |  | Good | 65 | 75 | 82 | 86 |
|  | Contoured + CR | Poor | 69 | 78 | 83 | 87 |
|  |  | Good | 64 | 74 | 81 | 85 |
|  | Contoured \& terraced (C\&T) | Poor | 66 | 74 | 80 | 82 |
|  |  | Good | 62 | 71 | 78 | 81 |
|  | Contoured \& terraced + CR | Poor | 65 | 73 | 79 | 81 |
|  |  | Good | 61 | 70 | 77 | 80 |
| Small grain | Straight row | Poor | 65 | 76 | 84 | 88 |
|  |  | Good | 63 | 75 | 83 | 87 |
|  | Straight row + CR | Poor | 64 | 75 | 83 | 86 |
|  |  | Good | 60 | 72 | 80 | 84 |
|  | Contoured | Poor | 63 | 74 | 82 | 85 |
|  |  | Good | 61 | 73 | 81 | 84 |
|  | Contoured + CR | Poor | 62 | 73 | 81 | 84 |
|  |  | Good | 60 | 72 | 80 | 83 |
|  | Contoured \& terraced | Poor | 61 | 72 | 79 | 82 |
|  |  | Good | 59 | 70 | 78 | 81 |
|  | Contoured \& terraced + CR | Poor | 60 | 71 | 78 | 81 |
|  |  | Good | 58 | 69 | 77 | 80 |
| Close-seeded or broadcast legumes or rotation meadow | Straight row | Poor | 66 | 77 | 85 | 89 |
|  |  | Good | 58 | 72 | 81 | 85 |
|  | Contoured | Poor | 64 | 75 | 83 | 85 |
|  |  | Good | 55 | 69 | 78 | 83 |
|  | Contoured \& terraced | Poor | 63 | 73 | 80 | 83 |
|  |  | Good | 51 | 67 | 76 | 80 |

## CN Table for Other Ag Lands



## ${ }^{1}$ Average runoff condition.

${ }^{2}$ Poor: $<50 \%$ ground cover or heavily grazed with no mulch Fair: $50 \%$ to $75 \%$ ground cover and not heavily grazed. Good: >75\% ground cover and lightly or only occasionally grazed.
${ }^{3}$ Poor: < $50 \%$ ground cover
Fair: 50 to $75 \%$ ground cover. Good: >75\% ground cover.
${ }^{4}$ Actual curve number is less than 30 ; use $\mathrm{CN}=30$ for runoff computations.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{CN}$ 's shown were computed for areas with $50 \%$ woods and $50 \%$ grass (pasture) cover. Other combinations of conditions may be computed from the CN's for woods and pasture.
${ }^{6}$ Poor: Forest, litter, small trees, and brush have been destroyed by heavy grazing or regular burning.
Fair: Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil.
Good: Woods are protected trom grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil.

## CN Tables for Urban Lands

| Cover description |  | Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cover type and hydrologic condition | Average percent impervious area ${ }^{2}$ | A | B | C | D |
| Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.)33: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor condition (grass cover < 50\%).... |  | 68 | 79 | 86 | 89 |
| Fair condition (grass cover 50\% to 75\%) |  | 49 | 69 | 79 | 84 |
| Good condition (grass cover > 75\%) ... |  | 39 | 61 | 74 | 80 |
| Impervious areas: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. (excluding right-ofway). |  | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Streets and roads: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding right-of-way) |  | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Paved; open ditches (including right-of-way) |  | 83 | 89 | 92 | 93 |
| Gravel (including right-of-way) . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 76 | 85 | 89 | 91 |
| Dirt (including right-of-way). . |  | 72 | 82 | 87 | 89 |
| Western desert urban areas: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only) ${ }^{4}$ |  | 63 | 77 | 85 | 88 |
| Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed barrier, desert shrub with 1 - to 2 -inch sand or gravel mulch and basin borders). |  | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Urban districts: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and business | 85 | 89 | 92 | 94 | 95 |
| Industrial . . . . . . . . . . | 72 | 81 | 88 | 91 | 93 |
| Residential districts by average lot size: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/8 acre or less (town houses) | 65 | 77 | 85 | 90 | 92 |
| 1/4 acre | 38 | 61 | 75 | 83 | 87 |
| 1/3 acre | 30 | 57 | 72 | 81 | 86 |
| 1/2 acre | 25 | 54 | 70 | 80 | 85 |
| 1 acre ......................................................... | 20 | 51 | 68 | 79 | 84 |
| 2 acres . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12 | 46 | 65 | 77 | 82 |
| Developing urban areas |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly graded areas (pervious areas only, no vegetation) ${ }^{5}$ |  | 77 | 86 | 91 | 94 |

'Average runoff condition
${ }^{2}$ The average percent impervious area shown was used to develop the composite CN's. Other assumptions are as follows: impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a CN of 98, and pervious areas are consi dered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic condition. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{CN}$ 's shown are equivalent to those of pasture. Composite CN's may be computed for other combinations of open space cover type.
${ }^{4}$ Composite CN's for natural desert landscaping should be computed based on the impervious area $(\mathrm{CN}=98)$ and the pervious area CN. The pervious area CN's are assumed equivalent to desert shrub in poor hydrologic condition.
${ }^{5}$ Composite CN's to use for the design of temporary measures during grading and construction should be computed using the degree of development (impervious area percentage) and the CN's for the newly graded pervious areas.

## Rainfall from a 2 year 24 hour rain event

Figure 2-2 -Precipitation values for the Eastern United States-2-year 24-hour rainfall (inches)


# Rainfall from a 10-year 24 hour rain event 

Figure 2-4 -Precipitation values for the Eastern United States-10-year 24-hour raintall (inches)


# How much runoff would a 10 year storm produce on Cropland 

- Use the CN Calculations for estimate

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{(\mathrm{R}-0.2 \mathrm{~S})^{2}}{(\mathrm{R}+0.8 \mathrm{~S})}
$$

$$
S=\frac{1000}{C N}-10
$$

Equation use for inches of rainfall

- Payne county 10-year storm produces 4.5 inches in 24 hours
> $\mathrm{R}=4.5$ inches


# Map of Field West of town showing Hydrologic Groups 

- $55 \%$ of field is Group B
- $45 \%$ is Group D



## Calculating the Average CN

| Cover description |  |  | Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cover type | Treatment ${ }^{2}$ | Hydrologic condition ${ }^{3}$ | A | B | C | D |
| Fallow | Bare soil | - | 77 | 86 | 91 | 94 |
|  | Crop residue cover (CR) | Poor | 76 | 85 | 90 | 93 |
|  |  | Good | 74 | 83 | 88 | 90 |
| Row crops | Straight row | Poor | 72 | 81 | 88 | 91 |
|  |  | Good | 67 | 78 | 85 | 89 |
|  | Straight row + CR | Poor | 71 | 80 | 87 | 90 |
|  |  | Good | 64 | 75 | 82 | 85 |
|  | Contoured (C) | Poor | 70 | 79 | 84 | 88 |
|  |  | Good | 65 | 75 | 82 | 86 |
|  | Contoured + CR | Poor | 69 | 78 | 83 | 87 |
|  |  | Good | 64 | 74 | 81 | 85 |
|  | Contoured \& terraced (C\&T) | Poor | 66 | 74 | 80 | 82 |
|  |  | Good | 62 | 71 | 78 | 81 |
|  | Contoured \& terraced + CR | Poor | 65 | 73 | 79 | 81 |
|  |  | Good | 61 | 70 | 77 | 80 |
| Small grain | Straight row | Poor | 65 | 76 | 84 | 88 |
|  |  | Good | 63 | 75 | 83 | 87 |
|  | Straight row + CR | Poor | 64 | 75 | 83 | 86 |
|  |  | Good | 60 | 72 | 80 | 84 |

- Straight row wheat with poor hydrologic condition

Group B=76, Group D= 88

Map of Field West of town showing Hydrologic Groups

- Group B portion of $\mathrm{CN}=0.55^{*} 76=41.8$
- Group D portion of CN=0.45*88=39.6
- Add these portions together to get average CN of 81.4



## Back to Calculation

$$
S=\frac{1000}{C N}-10 \quad Q=\frac{(R-0.2 S)^{2}}{(R+0.8 S)}
$$

Equation use for inches of rainfall
o Payne county 10-year storm produces 4.5 inches in 24 hours
> $\mathrm{R}=4.5$ inches
○ $\mathrm{CN}=81.4$
$S=\frac{1000}{81.4}-10=2.28 \quad Q=\frac{\left(4.5-0.2^{*} 2.28\right)^{2}}{\left(4.5+0.8^{*} 2.28\right)}=2.6$ inches
Equation use for inches of rainfall

- Runoff $(Q)=57 \%$ of rainfall

High due to permeability of soil and cultivation and quantity of rainfall

## Relationship between Rainfall and Runoff from CN Estimates

- Runoff as a \% of rainfall increases with the quantity of rainfall



# Relative Energy of rainfall and runoff 

- 4.5 inches of rainfall at $8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
> Equivalent to 462555 L/acre(kg /acre)
o VS. 2.60 inches of runoff moving at $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ > 267254 L/acre (kg /acre)

Rainfall
$E=1 / 2 m v^{2}=1 / 2^{*} 462555^{*}(8)^{2}=15 \mathrm{MJ} /$ acre
Runoff
$E=1 / 2 m v^{2}=1 / 2^{*} 267254^{*}(1)^{2}=0.134$ MJ/acre

